

Algebraic and differential Rainich conditions for symmetric trace-free tensors of higher rank

G Bergqvist¹ and P Lankinen²

¹Matematiska institutionen, Linköpings universitet,
SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden

²Department of Mathematics and Physics, Mälardalen University,
SE-721 23 Västerås, Sweden
gober@mai.liu.se, paul.lankinen@mdh.se

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We study Rainich-like conditions for symmetric and trace-free tensors T . For arbitrary even rank we find a necessary and sufficient differential condition for a tensor to satisfy the source free field equation. For rank 4, in a generic case, we combine these conditions with previously obtained algebraic conditions to obtain a complete set of algebraic and differential conditions on T for it to be a superenergy tensor of a Weyl candidate tensor satisfying the Bianchi vacuum equations. By a result of Bell and Szekeres this implies that in vacuum, generically, T must be the Bel-Robinson tensor of the spacetime. For the rank 3 case we derive a complete set of necessary algebraic and differential conditions for T to be the superenergy tensor of a massless spin $3/2$ field satisfying the source free field equation.

1 Introduction

Given a symmetric trace-free divergence-free tensor T_{ab} satisfying the dominant energy condition ($T_{ab}u^a v^b \geq 0$ for all future-directed causal vectors u^a and v^a), one can ask what more is required of T_{ab} for it to be the energy-momentum tensor of some given physical field. It turns out that to completely characterize T_{ab} we will need both an algebraic and a differential condition. Assuming dimension 4 and Lorentzian metric, the following is a result in classical Rainich-Misner-Wheeler theory [12, 13, 14]:

Theorem 1 *A symmetric trace-free tensor T_{ab} which satisfies the dominant energy condition can be written $T_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}(F_{ac}F_b^c + {}^*F_{ac}{}^*F_b^c) \equiv -F_{ac}F_b^c + \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}F_{cd}F^{cd}$, where F_{ab} is a 2-form, if and only if*

$$T_{ac}T_b^c = \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}T_{cd}T^{cd}. \quad (1)$$

Here ${}^*F_{ab}$ is the dual 2-form of F_{ab} . Removing the assumption of the dominant energy condition Theorem 1 is still true up to sign [7] : $\pm T_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}(F_{ac}F_b^c + {}^*F_{ac}{}^*F_b^c)$ if and only if (1) is satisfied.

A tensor T_{ab} fulfilling the requirements of the theorem is algebraically the energy-momentum tensor of a Maxwell field F_{ab} . Equivalently a tensor satisfying the given requirements can be written $T_{ab} = 2\varphi_{AB}\bar{\varphi}_{A'B'}$ where φ_{AB} is a spinor representing the Maxwell field. In the theorem F_{ab} is only determined up to a duality rotation $F_{ab} \rightarrow F_{ab}\cos\theta + {}^*F_{ab}\sin\theta$ which corresponds to $\varphi_{AB} \rightarrow e^{-i\theta}\varphi_{AB}$.

Of course we will have to accompany this algebraic condition with a differential condition that assures that the field F_{ab} (or equally φ_{AB}) satisfies the source-free Maxwell's equations. The following is known [12, 13, 14]

Theorem 2 *Suppose that $T_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{F}_{ac}\tilde{F}_b^c + {}^*\tilde{F}_{ac}{}^*\tilde{F}_b^c)$ for some 2-form $\tilde{F}_{ab}$ and that $\nabla^a T_{ab} = 0 \neq T_{ab}T^{ab}$. Then $T_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}(F_{ac}F_b^c + {}^*F_{ac}{}^*F_b^c)$ for some 2-form F_{ab} satisfying the source-free Maxwell equations $\nabla_{[a}F_{bc]} = 0 = \nabla_a F^{ab}$, if and only if*

$$\nabla_b S_a = \nabla_a S_b \quad \text{where} \quad S_c = \frac{e_{ac}{}^{pq}T_{bp}\nabla_q T^{ab}}{T_{ef}T^{ef}} \quad (2)$$

Note that F_{ab} is obtained from $\tilde{F}_{ab}$ by a duality rotation and that the source-free Maxwell equations in spinor form are just $\nabla^{AA'}\varphi_{AB} = 0$ [13]. Using spinors, Theorem 2 can equivalently be written

Theorem 3 *Suppose that $T_{ab} = 2\phi_{AB}\bar{\phi}_{A'B'}$ for some symmetric spinor ϕ_{AB} and that $\nabla^a T_{ab} = 0 \neq T_{ab}T^{ab}$. Then $T_{ab} = 2\varphi_{AB}\bar{\varphi}_{A'B'}$ for some symmetric spinor φ_{AB} satisfying $\nabla^{AA'}\varphi_{AB} = 0$ if and only if (2) is satisfied.*

The validity of Theorems 2 and 3 is obviously restricted to cases where $T_{ab}T^{ab} \neq 0$, i.e. when the two principal null directions of φ_{AB} are different (non-null electromagnetic fields). In the null case results cannot be stated in an equally simple way, see [9, 11].

Theorems 1 and 2 imply

Corollary 4 *A symmetric trace-free and divergence-free tensor T_{ab} with $T_{ab}T^{ab} \neq 0$ is, up to sign, the energy-momentum tensor of a source-free Maxwell field if and only if (1) and (2) are satisfied.*

If one uses Einstein's equation, T_{ab} may be replaced by the Ricci tensor R_{ab} in the corollary since T_{ab} is trace-free. In this case the Ricci tensor is automatically divergence-free so this is not needed as a condition. Equations (1) and (2) are then satisfied for R_{ab} if and only if R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor for an Einstein-Maxwell spacetime.

The algebraic result of Theorem 1 has been generalized to arbitrary dimension and arbitrary trace of T_{ab} when (1) is assumed [7], and to cases in higher dimension when (1) is replaced by a third-order equation for T_{ab} [4]. In these generalizations only rank-2 tensors T_{ab} were considered. We will here generalize Theorems 1 and 2 to include symmetric trace-free tensors of rank 3 and 4. For higher rank tensors the dominant energy condition is replaced by a generalization called the *dominant property*,

$$T_{a_1 \dots a_r} u_1^{a_1} \dots u_r^{a_r} \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

for all causal vectors $u_k^{a_k}$. The spacetime dimension will always be four here and the metric will be assumed to be of Lorentzian signature. The methods will be spinorial so that we will start by reviewing necessary facts about these. After that a differential condition for symmetric trace-free and divergence-free tensors of even rank is obtained, generalizing Theorem 2, and applied to the Bel-Robinson tensor. The algebraic condition for the Bel-Robinson tensor was already obtained in [5] and we can now give a complete characterization of the Bel-Robinson tensor. The Bel-Robinson tensor is the so-called superenergy tensor of the Weyl tensor or the Weyl spinor. To any tensor on a Lorentzian manifold there is a corresponding superenergy tensor of even rank and this always has the dominant property [3, 15]. In [10] this definition was extended to include also superenergy tensors of spinors, which may then be of odd rank. Here we derive both algebraic and differential conditions on symmetric trace-free and divergence-free tensors of rank 3, giving a complete characterization of superenergy tensors of massless spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ fields.

2 Some useful spinor identities

We review some well-known facts about spinors that will be important to us. The formulas can be found in the book by Penrose and Rindler [13] and we also follow their notation and conventions (except for a factor 4 in the definition of the Bel-Robinson tensor). Spinor expressions for general superenergy tensors are given in [3].

We use capital letters $A, B, \dots, A', B', \dots$ for spinor indices and identify with tensor indices $a, b, \dots$ according to $AA' = a$. A spinor P_{ABQ} , where Q represents some set of spinor indices, can be divided up into its symmetric and antisymmetric parts with respect to a pair of indices

$$P_{ABQ} = \frac{1}{2}(P_{ABQ} + P_{BAQ}) + \frac{1}{2}(P_{ABQ} - P_{BAQ}) = P_{(AB)Q} + P_{[AB]Q}.$$

The antisymmetric part can be written

$$P_{[AB]Q} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{AB}P_C{}^C{}_Q,$$

where $\varepsilon_{AB} = -\varepsilon_{BA}$, so

$$P_{ABQ} = P_{(AB)Q} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{AB}P_C{}^C{}_Q . \quad (4)$$

From this one also has

$$P_{ABQ} = P_{BAQ} + \varepsilon_{AB}P_C{}^C{}_Q . \quad (5)$$

A simple but very useful rule is

$$P_C{}^C{}_Q = -P^C{}_C{}_Q . \quad (6)$$

Note that if $P_{abQ} = P_{baQ}$ then we have

$$P_{BAA'B'Q} = P_{abQ} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}P_c{}^c{}_Q ,$$

where $g_{ab} = \varepsilon_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'}$; so permuting A and B gives a trace reversal. From this we find another formula we shall need (with P_{abQ} not necessarily symmetric in ab)

$$P_{(AB)(A'B')Q} = P_{(ab)Q} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}P_c{}^c{}_Q . \quad (7)$$

The completely antisymmetric tensor e_{abcd} , normalized by $e_{abcd}e^{abcd} = -24$, can be written

$$e_{abcd} = i\varepsilon_{AC}\varepsilon_{BD}\varepsilon_{A'D'}\varepsilon_{B'C'} - i\varepsilon_{AD}\varepsilon_{BC}\varepsilon_{A'C'}\varepsilon_{B'D'}$$

Raising the indices cd and applying this tensor to the tensor $P_{cdQ} = P_{CC'DD'Q}$ gives the following useful relation

$$e_{AA'BB'}{}^{CC'DD'}P_{CC'DD'Q} = i(P_{ABB'A'Q} - P_{BAA'B'Q}) \quad (8)$$

For reference, we also state the relations between corresponding tensorial and spinorial objects of interest. The relation between a 2-form F_{ab} and a symmetric spinor φ_{AB} is

$$F_{ab} = \varphi_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'} + \bar{\varphi}_{A'B'}\varepsilon_{AB} \quad ; \quad \varphi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}F_{AC'B}{}^{C'}$$

and one also has

$$-F_{ac}F_b{}^c + \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}F_{cd}F^{cd} = 2\varphi_{AB}\bar{\varphi}_{A'B'} .$$

For the Weyl tensor C_{abcd} and the completely symmetric Weyl spinor Ψ_{ABCD} the corresponding relations are

$$C_{abcd} = \Psi_{ABCD}\bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'}\bar{\varepsilon}_{C'D'} + \bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'}\varepsilon_{AB}\varepsilon_{CD} \quad ; \quad \Psi_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{4}C_{AE'B}{}^{E'}{}_{CF'D}{}^{F'} \quad (9)$$

and

$$C_{akcl}C_b{}^k{}_d{}^l + {}^*C_{akcl}{}^*C_b{}^k{}_d{}^l = 4\Psi_{ABCD}\bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'} . \quad (10)$$

That a tensor $T_{a...b}$ is completely symmetric and trace-free is very elegantly expressed in an equivalent way using spinor indices as

$$T_{a...b} = T_{(A...B)(A'...B')} .$$

We shall study when a tensor can be factorized in terms of spinors. If a tensor $\tau_{a...b}$ can be written

$$\tau_{a...b} = \chi_{A...B}\bar{\chi}_{A'...B'} , \quad (11)$$

for some spinor $\chi_{A\dots B}$, then it follows that $\tau_{a\dots b}$ satisfies the dominant property (3) and

$$\tau_{A\dots B}^{A'\dots B'} \tau_{C\dots D}^{C'\dots D'} = \tau_{A\dots B}^{C'\dots D'} \tau_{C\dots D}^{A'\dots B'} . \quad (12)$$

Conversely, suppose that $\tau_{a\dots b}$ satisfies (12). Let $u^a, \dots, v^a$ be future-directed null vectors such that $\tau_{a\dots b} u^a \dots v^b = k \neq 0$. Such null vectors must exist since otherwise, by taking linear combinations, we would get $\tau_{a\dots b} u^a \dots v^b = 0$ for all vectors which would imply $\tau_{a\dots b} = 0$. Then write the null vectors in terms of spinors as $u^a = \alpha^A \bar{\alpha}^{A'}, \dots, v^a = \beta^A \bar{\beta}^{A'}$. Contract (12) with these spinors to get

$$\tau_{A\dots B A' \dots B'} \tau_{C \dots D C' \dots D'} \alpha^C \bar{\alpha}^{C'} \dots \beta^D \bar{\beta}^{D'} = (\tau_{A\dots B C' \dots D'} \bar{\alpha}^{C'} \dots \bar{\beta}^{D'}) (\tau_{C \dots D A' \dots B'} \alpha^C \dots \beta^D)$$

from which follows that $\tau_{a\dots b}$ and $-\tau_{a\dots b}$ can be factorized as in (11), one of them with $\chi_{A\dots B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|k|}} \tau_{A\dots B C' \dots D'} \bar{\alpha}^{C'} \dots \bar{\beta}^{D'}$ and the other with an extra i in the factor, and that either $\tau_{a\dots b}$ or $-\tau_{a\dots b}$ has the dominant property.

Finally, we introduce the following useful notation

$$T \cdot T = T_{a\dots b} T^{a\dots b}$$

for any tensor $T_{a\dots b}$.

3 Differential conditions for even rank

Suppose the tensor $T_{a_1 \dots a_r}$, with r even, can be factorized according to

$$T_{a_1 \dots a_r} = \Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \bar{\Psi}_{A'_1 \dots A'_r}$$

with $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ symmetric. Then $T_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is symmetric, trace-free and satisfies the dominant property. Note that $T_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ is invariant under $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \rightarrow e^{-i\theta} \Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$. We now prove a generalization of Theorem 2 (or Theorem 3).

Theorem 5 *Let r be even and suppose that $\nabla^{a_1} T_{a_1 \dots a_r} = 0 \neq T \cdot T$ and $T_{a_1 \dots a_r} = \Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \bar{\Phi}_{A'_1 \dots A'_r}$ for some totally symmetric $\Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$. Then $T_{a_1 \dots a_r} = \Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \bar{\Psi}_{A'_1 \dots A'_r}$ for some totally symmetric $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ satisfying $\nabla^{A_1 A'_1} \Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} = 0$ if and only if*

$$\nabla_a S_b = \nabla_b S_a, \quad \text{where} \quad S_b = \frac{e_{a_1 b} {}^{pq} T_{p a_2 \dots a_r} \nabla_q T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}}{T \cdot T}$$

Proof. Since $T_{a_1 \dots a_r} = \Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \bar{\Phi}_{A'_1 \dots A'_r}$ is preserved under "rotations" $\Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \rightarrow e^{i\chi} \Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ (χ real), we may assume that

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \Phi^{A_1 \dots A_r}$$

is real (otherwise rotate $\Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ with a suitable χ).

Now, we want to find the condition for the existence of some $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ with $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} = \Psi_{(A_1 \dots A_r)}$, $T_{a_1 \dots a_r} = \Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \bar{\Psi}_{A'_1 \dots A'_r}$ and $\nabla^{A_1 A'_1} \Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} = 0$. Clearly we can write $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} = e^{-i\theta} \Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ for some real θ with $\Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ as above. If $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r}$ satisfies the given field equations we have (using the Leibniz rule)

$$\nabla_{A_1 A'_1} (e^{-i\theta} \Phi^{A_1 \dots A_r}) = e^{-i\theta} \nabla_{A_1 A'_1} \Phi^{A_1 \dots A_r} - i e^{-i\theta} \Phi^{A_1 \dots A_r} \nabla_{A_1 A'_1} \theta = 0$$

Cancelling the $e^{-i\theta}$ and contracting with $\Phi_{BA_2 \dots A_r}$ we get

$$\Phi_{BA_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{A_1 A'_1} \Phi^{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} - i \Phi_{BA_2 \dots A_r} \Phi^{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{A_1 A'_1} \theta = 0$$

Using (5), (6) and the fact that r is even we have

$$\Phi_{BA_2 \dots A_r} \Phi^{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} = \varepsilon_B^{A_1} K$$

so we arrive at

$$\Phi_{BA_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{A_1 A'_1} \Phi^{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} - i K \nabla_{BA'_1} \theta = 0$$

Relabeling A_1 and B we get

$$\nabla_{A_1 A'_1} \theta = \frac{1}{iK} \Phi_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{BA'_1} \Phi^{BA_2 \dots A_r}$$

If we define a vector

$$S_{A_1 A'_1} = \frac{1}{iK} \Phi_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{BA'_1} \Phi^{BA_2 \dots A_r} \quad (13)$$

then, expanding $\nabla^b T_{ba_2 \dots a_r} = \nabla^{BB'} (\Phi_{BA_2 \dots A_r} \bar{\Phi}_{B'A'_2 \dots A'_r}) = 0$ by Leibniz' rule and contracting by $\Phi^{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} \bar{\Phi}_{A'_1 A'_2 \dots A'_r}$ we get

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_{B'}^{A'_1} K \Phi_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{BB'} \Phi^{BA_2 \dots A_r} + \varepsilon_B^{A_1} K \bar{\Phi}_{A'_1 A'_2 \dots A'_r} \nabla_{BB'} \bar{\Phi}^{B'A'_2 \dots A'_r} = 0$$

or

$$\Phi_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{BA'_1} \Phi^{BA_2 \dots A_r} + \bar{\Phi}_{A'_1 A'_2 \dots A'_r} \nabla_{A_1 B'} \bar{\Phi}^{B'A'_2 \dots A'_r} = 0 ,$$

hence the vector $\Phi_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} \nabla_{BA'_1} \Phi^{BA_2 \dots A_r}$ is purely imaginary and therefore S_a is a real vector.

We want to translate the right hand side of (13) into a tensorial expression. Differentiate the tensor $T_{a_1 \dots a_r} = \Phi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \bar{\Phi}_{A'_1 \dots A'_r}$ and make one contraction, leading to

$$\nabla_{A_1 B'} T^{A_1 A'_1 a_2 \dots a_r} = \bar{\Phi}^{A'_1 \dots A'_r} \nabla_{A_1 B'} \Phi^{A_1 \dots A_r} + \Phi^{A_1 \dots A_r} \nabla_{A_1 B'} \bar{\Phi}^{A'_1 \dots A'_r}$$

If we contract this with $T_{BA'_1 A_2 A'_2 \dots A_r A'_r}$ we get, again using that r is even,

$$T_{BA'_1 A_2 \dots a_r} \nabla_{A_1 B'} T^{A_1 A'_1 a_2 \dots a_r} = 2 \Phi_{BA_2 \dots A_r} K \nabla_{A_1 B'} \Phi^{A_1 A_2 \dots A_r} + \varepsilon_B^{A_1} K \nabla_{A_1 B'} K$$

Now we can use (13) to get

$$T_{BA'_1 A_2 \dots a_r} \nabla_{A_1 B'} T^{A_1 A'_1 a_2 \dots a_r} = 2iK^2 S_{BB'} + K \nabla_{BB'} K$$

On the right-hand side the first term is purely imaginary and the second is real, so taking the complex conjugate and then taking the difference results in

$$T_{BA'_1 A_2 \dots a_r} \nabla_{A_1 B'} T^{A_1 A'_1 a_2 \dots a_r} - T_{B'A_1 a_2 \dots a_r} \nabla_{BA'_1} T^{A_1 A'_1 a_2 \dots a_r} = 4iK^2 S_{BB'}$$

Finally we can use (8) on the index pairs $A_1 A'_1$ and BB' to get

$$4iK^2 S_{BB'} = ie_{a_1 BB'} {}^{pq}T_{pa_2 \dots a_r} \nabla_q T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}$$

Here $4K^2 = T \cdot T$ so we get the formula

$$S_b = \frac{e_{a_1 b} {}^{pq}T_{pa_2 \dots a_r} \nabla_q T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_r}}{T \cdot T} \quad (14)$$

Conversely, with S_a given by (14), there is a real solution θ (determined up to an additive constant) to the equation $\nabla_a \theta = S_a$ if the integrability condition

$$\nabla_a S_b = \nabla_b S_a$$

is satisfied. This completes the proof. $\square$

Note that the above proof does not hold for odd r in which case $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_r} \Psi^{A_1 \dots A_r} = 0$ so $T \cdot T = 0$ as well.

4 Complete Rainich theory for the Bel-Robinson tensor for Petrov types I, II and D

As mentioned earlier, the algebraic Rainich condition for the Bel-Robinson tensor was obtained in [5] but we restate the result here

Theorem 6 *A completely symmetric and trace-free rank-4 tensor T_{abcd} is, up to sign, a Bel-Robinson type tensor, i.e. $\pm T_{abcd} = C_{akcl} C_b{}^k{}_d{}^l + {}^*C_{akcl} {}^*C_b{}^k{}_d{}^l$ where C_{abcd} has the same algebraic symmetries as the Weyl tensor, if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jabc} T^{jefg} = & \frac{3}{2} g_{(a} ({}^e T_{bc})_{jk} T^{fg})^{jk} + \frac{3}{4} g_{(a} ({}^e T_{|jk|b} {}^f T_{c)} g)^{jk} - \frac{3}{4} g_{(ab} T_{c)jk} ({}^e T^{fg})^{jk} \\ & - \frac{3}{4} g^{(ef} T_{jk(ab} T_{c)} g)^{jk} + \frac{1}{32} (3g_{(ab} g_{c)} ({}^e g^f g) - 4g_{(a} ({}^e g_b {}^f g_c g)) T_{jklm} T^{jklm} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Equivalently this may also be stated as T_{abcd} is the superenergy tensor [15] of a Weyl candidate tensor (that is a tensor with same algebraic symmetries as the Weyl tensor: $C_{abcd} = -C_{bacd} = -C_{abdc} = C_{cdab}$, $C_{abcd} + C_{adbc} + C_{acdb} = 0$, $C^a{}_{bad} = 0$). As shown in [5] the identity (15) in Theorem 6 can equivalently be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jbc(a} T_e)^{jfg} = & g_{(b} ({}^f T_{c)jk(a} T_e)^{g)jk} - \frac{1}{4} g^{fg} T_{jkb(a} T_e)^{c}{}^{jk} - \frac{1}{4} g_{bc} T_{jk}{}^f ({}_a T_e)^{gjk} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} g_{ae} (T_{jkb} T^{jkgf} + \frac{1}{8} (g_{bc} g^{fg} - g_b{}^f g_c{}^g - g_b{}^g g_c{}^f) T \cdot T) \end{aligned}$$

In terms of spinors we can state Theorem 6 as

Theorem 7 *A completely symmetric and trace-free rank-4 tensor T_{abcd} can be written $\pm T_{abcd} = \Psi_{ABCD} \bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ with $\Psi_{ABCD} = \Psi_{(ABCD)}$ if and only if (15) is satisfied.*

Thus from a spinorial viewpoint this is a natural generalization of the classical Rainich theory. We can then ask the same question as in the classical case, e.g. what is required in order to

have C_{abcd} (or Ψ_{ABCD}) satisfy some field equations? In this case we choose the source-free gravitational field equations

$$\nabla^{AA'}\Psi_{ABCD} = 0 \quad (16)$$

for the Weyl spinor that hold whenever Einstein's vacuum equations hold. The tensor form of the equation (16) is the vacuum Bianchi identity $\nabla_{[a}C_{bc]de} = 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow \nabla^a C_{abcd} = 0$ in four dimensions) for the Weyl tensor. From Theorem 5, we immediately have the following generalization of Theorem 2,

Corollary 8 *If $T_{abcd} = \Phi_{ABCD}\bar{\Phi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ for a completely symmetric spinor Φ_{ABCD} and if $\nabla^a T_{abcd} = 0$, then in a region where $T \cdot T \neq 0$ we have $T_{abcd} = \Psi_{ABCD}\bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ for a completely symmetric spinor Ψ_{ABCD} satisfying $\nabla^{AA'}\Psi_{ABCD} = 0$ if and only if*

$$\nabla_a S_b = \nabla_b S_a, \quad \text{where} \quad S_e = \frac{\epsilon_{ae}{}^{pq} T_{bcdp} \nabla_q T^{abcd}}{T \cdot T} \quad (17)$$

This corollary gives a differential Rainich like condition on the Bel-Robinson tensor. Combining Theorem 6 (or 7) and Corollary 8 we get the rank-4 generalization of Corollary 4 which gives the complete Rainich theory for Bel-Robinson type tensors. The tensor version is

Corollary 9 *Suppose that T_{abcd} is completely symmetric, trace-free and divergence-free and that $T \cdot T \neq 0$. Then $\pm T_{abcd} = C_{akcl} C_b{}^k{}_d{}^l + {}^* C_{akcl} {}^* C_b{}^k{}_d{}^l$ for a Weyl candidate tensor C_{abcd} satisfying $\nabla_{[a} C_{bc]de} = 0$ if and only if (15) and (17) are satisfied.*

Expressed in terms of spinors we get

Corollary 10 *Suppose that T_{abcd} is completely symmetric, trace-free and divergence-free and that $T \cdot T \neq 0$. Then $\pm T_{abcd} = \Psi_{ABCD}\bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ for a completely symmetric spinor Ψ_{ABCD} satisfying $\nabla^{AA'}\Psi_{ABCD} = 0$ if and only if (15) and (17) are satisfied.*

We now proceed to see when these conditions imply that C_{abcd} is not only a Weyl candidate tensor satisfying $\nabla_{[a} C_{bc]de} = 0$ but the actual Weyl tensor of the spacetime. First of all, $T \cdot T = 0$ if and only if the spacetime is of Petrov type *III* or *N* [2]. Thus we restrict ourselves to spacetimes of Petrov type *I*, *II* and *D*. Bell and Szekeres [1] call a spacetime in which (16) is satisfied by the actual Weyl spinor a *C-space*, hence all vacuum spacetimes are C-spaces. This is also equivalent to the vanishing of the Cotton tensor $C_{abc} = 2\nabla_{[a} R_{b]c} + \frac{1}{3}g_{c[a} \nabla_{b]} R$ [8]. For spacetimes of Petrov type *I*, Bell and Szekeres prove

Theorem 11 *In an algebraically general C-space the source free field equations (16) (the vacuum Bianchi identities) have a unique solution to within constant multiples, or its solutions are linear combinations of at most two independent solutions.*

In [1] conditions for the cases with non-unique solutions are given and the authors claim that most physically acceptable metrics do not satisfy these conditions. As the conditions are not so simply stated, we refer to [1] for further discussion. With the exception of these cases, there is, up to a multiplicative constant, a unique solution to (16) which then is of course the Weyl spinor (so the gravitational field is uniquely determined by the Bianchi identities).

For Petrov types *II* and *D*, let o_A, ι_A be a spin basis, such that o_A is the repeated principal null direction in spacetimes of Petrov type *II*, and such that o_A and ι_A are the repeated principal null directions in spacetimes of Petrov type *D*, and let, for the remaining of this section, Ψ_{ABCD} denote the actual Weyl spinor of spacetime. Then the following was proved in [1]

Theorem 12 *In a C-space of Petrov type II, the solution Φ_{ABCD} of the source free field equations (16) is unique up to a constant α and null type fields $N_{ABCD}^1 = \beta o_A o_B o_C o_D$ with β a scalar, according to $\Phi_{ABCD} = \alpha \Psi_{ABCD} + N_{ABCD}^1$ where Ψ_{ABCD} is the Weyl spinor. For Petrov type D the solution can be written $\Phi_{ABCD} = \alpha \Psi_{ABCD} + N_{ABCD}^1 + N_{ABCD}^2$, where $N_{ABCD}^2 = \gamma \iota_A \iota_B \iota_C \iota_D$ with γ a scalar.*

In deriving these theorems Bell and Szekeres use the Buchdahl conditions [13]

$$\Psi^{ABC}{}_{(D} \Phi_{E...F)ABC} = 0$$

which are algebraic consistency conditions that relate any solution $\Phi_{A_1...A_n}$ of the spin $\frac{n}{2}$ -equation $\nabla^{A_1 A'_1} \Phi_{A_1...A_n} = 0$ to the Weyl spinor Ψ_{ABCD} . Using these results we have

Corollary 13 *In C-spaces (including vacuum spacetimes), if T_{abcd} is completely symmetric, trace-free and divergence-free, then, generically (Petrov type I and excluding the exceptions given in [1]) and up to a constant factor, T_{abcd} is the Bel-Robinson tensor of spacetime if and only if (15) and (17) are satisfied.*

For Petrov types II and D the following weaker conclusion can be drawn

Corollary 14 *In C-spaces (including vacuum spacetimes), if T_{abcd} is completely symmetric, trace-free and divergence-free and if spacetime is of Petrov type II (D), then $T_{abcd} = \chi_{ABCD} \bar{\chi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ where $\chi_{ABCD} = \alpha \Psi_{ABCD} + N_{ABCD}^1$ ($\chi_{ABCD} = \alpha \Psi_{ABCD} + N_{ABCD}^1 + N_{ABCD}^2$) if and only if (15) and (17) are satisfied.*

Note that the freedom in these cases does not preserve the principal null directions or even the Petrov type.

5 Algebraic conditions for rank 3

In Senovilla's original definition of superenergy tensors of arbitrary tensors [15], all superenergy tensors are of even rank. However, in [6] tensors of the form $\Psi_{ABC} \bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'}$ were used to study causal propagation of spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ fields. In [10] Senovilla's definition has been extended to include superenergy tensors of spinors and these may be of odd rank. Then, for instance, the superenergy tensor of a completely symmetric spinor $\Psi_{A_1...A_r}$ of arbitrary rank is $T_{a_1...a_r} = \Psi_{A_1...A_r} \bar{\Psi}_{A'_1...A'_r}$. We now go on and study Rainich type conditions for the rank-3 case, beginning with an algebraic characterization.

Theorem 15 *A completely symmetric and trace-free rank-3 tensor T_{abc} can be written $\pm T_{abc} = \Psi_{ABC} \bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'}$ with $\Psi_{ABC} = \Psi_{(ABC)}$ if and only if*

$$T_{abj} T^{dej} = g_{(a} {}^{(d} T_{b)jk} T^{e)jk} - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} T^d{}_{jk} T^{ejk} - \frac{1}{4} g^{de} T_{ajk} T_b{}^{jk} \quad (18)$$

Proof. By the results in Section 2 we must prove that (18) is equivalent to

$$T_{ABC}^{A'B'C'} T_{DEF}^{D'E'F'} - T_{ABC}^{D'E'F'} T_{DEF}^{A'B'C'} = 0 \quad (19)$$

We follow the method developed in [5] and divide up the left hand side in symmetric and antisymmetric parts with respect to the pairs $A'D'$, $B'E'$ and $C'F'$. Antisymmetric parts correspond to traces so for terms with 3, 2, 1 or 0 symmetrizations we have, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ABC}^{(C'|B'|(A'T_{DEF}^{D'})|E')|F')} - T_{ABC}^{(F'|E'|(D'T_{DEF}^{A'})|B')|C')} &= 0 \\ T_{J'ABC}^{(B'|A'T_{DEF}^{D'})|E')J'} - T_{ABC}^{J'(E'|D'T_{DEF}^{A'})|B')} &= 2T_{J'ABC}^{(B'|A'T_{DEF}^{D'})|E')J'} \\ T_{J'K'ABC}^{(A'T_{DEF}^{D'})J'K'} - T_{ABC}^{J'K'(D'T_{DEF}^{A'})} &= 0 \\ T_{J'K'L'ABC}^{J'K'L'} - T_{ABC}^{J'K'L'} T_{DEFJ'K'L'} &= 2T_{J'K'L'ABC} T_{DEF}^{J'K'L'} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (19) is equivalent to

$$T_{J'ABC}^{(B'|A'T_{DEF}^{D'})|E')J'} = 0 = T_{J'K'L'ABC} T_{DEF}^{J'K'L'}$$

Now, continuing in the same way with respect to the unprimed indices of these two expressions, expressions with an odd total number of contractions vanish. Hence (19) is equivalent to

$$T_{j(B|(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})|E')j} = 0, \quad T_{jKL}^{(B'|A'T_{D|E}^{D'})|E')jKL} = 0, \quad T_{jK'L'(B|(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})|E')j} = 0, \quad T_{jkl} T^{jkl} = 0 \quad (20)$$

Now divide $T_{jBA}^{B'A'} T_{DE}^{D'E'j}$ up into symmetric and antisymmetric parts four times in the index pairs $A'D'$, AD , BE and $B'E'$. Again, terms with an odd number of contractions vanish and we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jBA}^{B'A'} T_{DE}^{D'E'j} &= T_{j(B|(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})|E')j} + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{BE} \varepsilon_{AD} T_{jKL}^{(B'|A'T_{D|E}^{D'})|E')jKL} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jK'L'(B|(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})|E')j} + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{AD} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jk(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})}^{(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{AD} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'} T_{jk(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} + \frac{1}{16} \varepsilon_{BE} \varepsilon_{AD} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jkl} T^{jkl} \end{aligned}$$

Since an expression is zero if and only if all its symmetric and antisymmetric parts are zero we get that (19) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jBA}^{B'A'} T_{DE}^{D'E'j} &= \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{AD} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jk(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})}^{(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{AD} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'} T_{jk(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Now, note that, by using (5) on $A'B'$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} &= \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{M'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(M'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} \\ &= \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} \varepsilon_{BE} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(D'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} \end{aligned}$$

Applying (5) with respect to DE in the first term and AE in the second we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{BE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(B'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} &= \varepsilon_{BD} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} + \varepsilon_{DE} \varepsilon_B^M \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} \\ &+ \varepsilon_{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} T_{jk(E'T_{D|D}^{E'})}^{(D'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} + \varepsilon_{AE} \varepsilon_B^M \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} T_{jk(M'T_{D|D}^{D'})}^{(E'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} \\ &= \varepsilon_{BD} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} + \varepsilon_{DE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'} T_{jk(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})}^{(A'T_{D|E}^{D'})jk} \\ &- \varepsilon_{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} T_{jk(D'T_{E|D}^{E'})}^{(D'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} + \varepsilon_{AE} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} T_{jk(B'T_{D|D}^{D'})}^{(D'T_{E|D}^{E'})jk} \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, first acting on DE and then on $A'B'$ and $B'D'$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{AD}\bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'}T_{jk(B}^{(A'}T_{E)}^{D')jk} &= \varepsilon_{AE}\bar{\varepsilon}^{A'E'}T_{jk(B}^{(B'}T_{D)}^{D')jk} - \varepsilon_{AE}\bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'}T_{jk(B}^{(D'}T_{D)}^{E')jk} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_{DE}\bar{\varepsilon}^{D'E'}T_{jk(A}^{(A'}T_{B)}^{B')jk} - \varepsilon_{DE}\bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'}T_{jk(A}^{(A'}T_{B)}^{E')jk}\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions into (21) gives

$$\begin{aligned}T_{jBA}^{B'A'}T_{DE}^{D'E'j} &= \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{BE}\bar{\varepsilon}^{B'E'}T_{jk(A}^{(A'}T_{D)}^{D')jk} + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{AD}\bar{\varepsilon}^{A'D'}T_{jk(B}^{(B'}T_{E)}^{E')jk} + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{AE}\bar{\varepsilon}^{A'E'}T_{jk(B}^{(B'}T_{D)}^{D')jk} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{BD}\bar{\varepsilon}^{B'D'}T_{jk(A}^{(A'}T_{E)}^{E')jk} - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'}T_{jk(D}^{(D'}T_{E)}^{E')jk} - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{DE}\bar{\varepsilon}^{D'E'}T_{jk(A}^{(A'}T_{B)}^{B')jk}\end{aligned}$$

Lowering indices, we use (7) to rewrite this to

$$\begin{aligned}T_{jab}T_{de}^j &= \frac{1}{4}g_{be}T_{jka}T_d^{jk} + \frac{1}{4}g_{ad}T_{jkb}T_e^{jk} + \frac{1}{4}g_{ae}T_{jkb}T_d^{jk} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}g_{bd}T_{jka}T_e^{jk} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}T_{jkd}T_e^{jk} - \frac{1}{4}g_{de}T_{jka}T_b^{jk}\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

where we also used $T_{jkl}T^{jkl} = 0$. Since (22) is equivalent to (19) the proof is completed. $\square$

6 Differential conditions for rank 3

It is clear that the methods of Section 3 do not work for odd rank. We have e.g. that $T_{a\dots b}T^{a\dots b} = 0$ in this case and it is important if (6) is used an even or odd number of times. We present here a condition for rank 3 but it can be generalized to higher odd rank. Given a completely symmetric spinor Ψ_{ABC} we define a symmetric spinor

$$\psi_{AB} = \Psi_{ACD}\Psi_B{}^{CD}$$

Writing

$$\Psi_{ABC} = \alpha_{(A}\beta_B\gamma_{C)}$$

where α_A , β_A and γ_A are the three principal null directions of Ψ_{ABC} , we may say that Ψ_{ABC} is of type I, II or N if the principal null directions are all distinct, if two coincide, or if all three coincide, respectively. It is then easy to see that $\psi_{AB} = 0$ if and only if Ψ_{ABC} is of type N and that $\psi_{AB}\psi^{AB} \neq 0$ if and only if Ψ_{ABC} is of type I. With $T_{abc} = \Psi_{ABC}\bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'}$ we see $T_{acd}T_b{}^{cd}T_{ef}^aT^{bef} \neq 0$ if and only if Ψ_{ABC} is of type I. For type I, the generic case, we have the following

Theorem 16 *Suppose that $T_{abc} = \Phi_{ABC}\bar{\Phi}_{A'B'C'}$ for some symmetric spinor Φ_{ABC} and that $\nabla^a T_{abc} = 0 \neq T_{acd}T_b{}^{cd}T_{ef}^aT^{bef}$. Then $T_{abc} = \Psi_{ABC}\bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'}$ for some symmetric spinor Ψ_{ABC} satisfying $\nabla^{AA'}\Psi_{ABC} = 0$ if and only if*

$$\nabla_a S_b = \nabla_b S_a \quad \text{where} \quad S^h = \frac{e^{haef}T_{emn}T^{bmn}T_b{}^{cd}\nabla_f T_{acd}}{T_{acd}T_b{}^{cd}T_{ef}^aT^{bef}}\tag{23}$$

Proof. Since $T_{abc} = \Phi_{ABC}\bar{\Phi}_{A'B'C'}$ is preserved under "rotations" $\Phi_{ABC} \rightarrow e^{i\chi}\Phi_{ABC}$ (χ real), we may assume that the symmetric spinor $\phi_{AB} = \Phi_{ACD}\Phi_B{}^{CD}$ has the property that

$$k = \phi_{AB}\phi^{AB}$$

is real (otherwise rotate with a suitable χ). Now we want to find the condition for the existence of some Ψ_{ABC} with $\Psi_{ABC} = \Psi_{(ABC)}$, $T_{abc} = \Psi_{ABC} \bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'}$ and $\nabla^{AA'} \Psi_{ABC} = 0$. Clearly we can write $\Psi_{ABC} = e^{-i\theta} \Phi_{ABC}$ for some real θ . The differential equation becomes

$$\nabla^{AA'} \Psi_{ABC} = \nabla^{AA'} (e^{-i\theta} \Phi_{ABC}) = e^{-i\theta} (\nabla^{AA'} \Phi_{ABC} - i \Phi_{ABC} \nabla^{AA'} \theta) = 0$$

Multiplying by Φ^D_{BC} we have

$$\Phi^D_{BC} \nabla_{AA'} \Phi^{ABC} - i \phi^{AD} \nabla_{AA'} \theta = 0$$

Then multiply by ϕ_{DE} and use that $\phi_D^E \phi^{AD}$ is antisymmetric in AE . This implies

$$\phi_{DE} \Phi^D_{BC} \nabla_{AA'} \Phi^{ABC} = -\frac{i}{2} k \varepsilon_E^A \nabla_{AA'} \theta = -\frac{i}{2} k \nabla_{EA'} \theta$$

Hence

$$\nabla_e \theta = \frac{2i}{k} \phi_{DE} \Phi^D_{BC} \nabla_{AE'} \Phi^{ABC}$$

Define a vector

$$S_e = \frac{2i}{k} \phi_{DE} \Phi^D_{BC} \nabla_{AE'} \Phi^{ABC} \quad (24)$$

which is real since applying Leibniz' rule to $\nabla^a T_{abc} = \nabla^{AA'} (\Phi_{ABC} \bar{\Phi}_{A'B'C'}) = 0$, contracting with $\phi_{DE} \bar{\phi}_{D'E'} \Phi^{DBC} \bar{\Phi}^{D'B'C'}$ and using $2\phi_{AB} \phi^A_C = k \varepsilon_{BC}$, one finds that the vector $\phi_{DE} \Phi^D_{BC} \nabla_{AE'} \Phi^{ABC}$ is purely imaginary.

Next, translate the right hand side of (24) into a tensorial expression. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & T^{bmn} T_b^{cd} T^{HA'}_{mn} \nabla^{AH'} T_{acd} \\ &= T^{bmn} T_b^{cd} T^{HA'}_{mn} \nabla^{AH'} (\Phi_{ACD} \bar{\Phi}_{A'C'D'}) \\ &= \Phi^{BMN} \bar{\Phi}_{B'M'N'} \Phi_B^{CD} \bar{\Phi}_{B'C'D'} \Phi^H_{MN} \bar{\Phi}^{A'}_{M'N'} (\bar{\Phi}_{A'C'D'} \nabla^{AH'} \Phi_{ACD} + \Phi_{ACD} \nabla^{AH'} \bar{\Phi}_{A'C'D'}) \\ &= \bar{\phi}^{A'B'} \bar{\phi}_{A'B'} \phi^{BH} \Phi_B^{CD} \nabla^{AH'} \Phi_{ACD} + \phi^{BH} \phi_{AB} \bar{\phi}^{A'B'} \bar{\Phi}_{B'C'D'} \nabla^{AH'} \bar{\Phi}_{A'C'D'} \\ &= k \left(-\frac{ik}{2} \right) S^{HH'} + \frac{1}{2} k \varepsilon_A^H \bar{\phi}^{A'B'} \bar{\Phi}_{(B'C'D'} \nabla^{AH'} \bar{\Phi}_{A')C'D'} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} k^2 S^h + \frac{1}{2} k \bar{\phi}^{A'B'} \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{HH'} (\bar{\Phi}_{B'C'D'} \bar{\Phi}_{A'C'D'}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} k^2 S^h + \frac{1}{4} k \bar{\phi}^{A'B'} \nabla^h \bar{\phi}_{A'B'} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} k^2 S^h + \frac{1}{8} k \nabla^h (\bar{\phi}_{A'B'} \bar{\phi}^{A'B'}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} k^2 S^h + \frac{1}{8} k \nabla^h k \end{aligned}$$

Subtract the complex conjugate to get

$$T^{bmn} T_b^{cd} (T^{HA'}_{mn} \nabla^{AH'} - T^{AH'}_{mn} \nabla^{HA'}) T_{acd} = -ik^2 S^h$$

and apply (8) to get

$$S^h = -\frac{1}{k^2} e^{ahf} T^{bmn} T_b^{cd} T_{emn} \nabla_f T_{acd} \quad (25)$$

Conversely, with the real vector S_a given by (25), the equation $\nabla_a \theta = S_a$ has a real solution θ (determined up to an additive constant) if the integrability condition

$$\nabla_a S_b = \nabla_b S_a$$

is satisfied. This proves the theorem. $\square$

7 Complete Rainich theory for rank 3

A symmetric rank-3 spinor Ψ_{ABC} can be seen as representing a spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field on spacetime. The field equations for a massless spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field are

$$\nabla^{AA'}\Psi_{ABC} = 0$$

which are of the form in theorem 16 above. Thus collecting together the algebraic and differential conditions for symmetric trace-free and divergence-free rank-3 tensors obtained above, we find the following

Theorem 17 *Suppose that T_{abc} is symmetric, trace-free, divergence-free and that $T_{acd}T_b{}^{cd}T^a{}_{ef}T^{bef} \neq 0$. Then T_{abc} is the superenergy tensor of a massless spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field, i.e., $T_{abc} = \Psi_{ABC}\bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'}$ for some symmetric spinor Ψ_{ABC} satisfying $\nabla^{AA'}\Psi_{ABC} = 0$, if and only if*

$$T_{abj}T^{dej} = g_{(a}{}^{(d}T_{b)jk}T^{e)jk} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}T^d{}_{jk}T^{ejk} - \frac{1}{4}g^{de}T_{ajk}T_b{}^{jk}$$

and

$$\nabla_a S_b = \nabla_b S_a \quad \text{where} \quad S^h = \frac{e^{haef}T_{emn}T^{bmnn}T_b{}^{cd}\nabla_f T_{acd}}{T_{acd}T_b{}^{cd}T^a{}_{ef}T^{bef}}$$

In analogy with the rank-2 and rank-4 cases, this can be seen as a complete Rainich theory, in the mathematical sense since T_{abc} is not linked directly to the geometry via the field equations in present physical theories, for rank-3 superenergy tensors for the generic (type I) case.

8 Discussion

We have presented a complete Rainich theory for superenergy tensors of rank 3 and 4 in four dimensions in a generic case. However, the results obtained may be generalized to higher rank superenergy tensors. The interpretation is clear as the equations involved are the equations for a massless spin- $\frac{n}{2}$ field. It is also possible to pursue other generalizations of these results. For example one could consider massive spin- $\frac{n}{2}$ fields, in which case it is obviously necessary to modify the Theorems 5 and 16. One could also consider the rank-4 differential conditions in spacetimes of Petrov type *III* and *N*, where $T \cdot T = 0$ and Theorem 5 does not apply. From the results for the rank-2 case [9, 11] it is likely that this case will be rather complicated and that it is not so easy to apply Bell-Szekeres [1] types of results here (which are already complicated for any algebraically special case). Note, however, that the algebraic conditions also apply to cases when $T \cdot T = 0$. For generalizations to arbitrary spacetime dimension or to metrics of arbitrary signature tensor methods would be needed and it is clear that these would be much more complicated than the spinor methods we have used here.

Acknowledgements

We thank Brian Edgar and José Senovilla for useful suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] Bell P and Szekeres P 1972 Some properties of higher spin rest-mass zero fields in general relativity *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **6** 111–121
- [2] Bergqvist G 1998 Positivity properties of the Bel-Robinson tensor *J. Math. Phys.* **39** 2141–2147
- [3] Bergqvist G 1999 Positivity of general superenergy tensors *Commun. Math. Phys.* **207** 467–479
- [4] Bergqvist G and Höglund A 2002 Algebraic Rainich theory and antisymmetrization in higher dimensions *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** 3341–3355
- [5] Bergqvist G and Lankinen P 2004 Unique characterization of the Bel-Robinson tensor *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** 3499–3503 (full version at gr-qc/0403024)
- [6] Bergqvist G and Senovilla J M M 1999 On the causal propagation of fields *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16** L55–61
- [7] Bergqvist G and Senovilla J M M 2001 Null cone preserving maps, causal tensors and algebraic Rainich theory *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** 5299–5325
- [8] García A A, Hehl F W, Heinicke C and Macías A 2004 The Cotton tensor in Riemannian spaces *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** 1099–1118
- [9] Geroch R P 1966 Electromagnetism as an aspect of geometry *Ann. Phys., NY* **36** 147–187
- [10] Lankinen P and Pozo J M 2004 Generalized spinor representation of superenergy tensors *preprint*
- [11] Ludwig G 1970 Geometrodynamics of electromagnetic fields in the Newman-Penrose formalism *Commun. Math. Phys.* **17** 98–108
- [12] Misner C W and Wheeler J A 1957 Classical physics as geometry *Ann. Phys., NY* **2** 525–603
- [13] Penrose R and Rindler W 1984 *Spinors and spacetime* vol 1 Cambridge Univ. Press
- [14] Rainich G Y 1925 Electrodynamics in the general relativity theory *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **27** 106–136
- [15] Senovilla J M M 2000 Super-energy tensors *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17** 2799–2841